CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: FWP request to place a windmill aerator on Clemons Coulee Reservoir.

Proposed

Implementation Date: Fall 2016

Proponent: FWP, C/0 Dave Yerk, PO Box 746, Choteau, MT 59422

Location: NW4NE4, Section 16, T21N, R6W

County: Teton

Trust: Common Schools

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

The proponent has requested to place a portable windmill on the Clemons Coulee Reservoir for winter aeration. The windmill will be placed on shore and an air hose will be placed into the water. No permanent structures will be constructed. This is part of the Statement of Agreement between DNRC and FWP for the management of Clemons Coulee Reservoir.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Blenton Ranch Co.-Surface Lessee, Lease #1206 FWP-Proponent

DNRC-Surface Owner

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A (No Action) – Deny FWP's request to place a windmill aerator on Clemons Coulee Reservoir.

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant FWP's request to place a windmill aerator on Clemons Coulee Reservoir.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

The proposed action will not require any surface disturbance. The windmill will be placed on the surface and is of a portable nature. Cumulative impacts to the soils are not anticipated in either alternative.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

There are documented and/or recorded water rights associated with the proposed project area, but they will not be affected by the installation of the windmill aerator. The proposed action will improve overall water quantity for the proponent's fish in the reservoir. Cumulative effects to the water resources are not expected from the project. Other water quality and/or quantity issues will not be negatively impacted by the proposed action.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

The proposed action will not impact the air quality.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Vegetation will not be impacted as the windmill aerator is placed next to the Clemons Coulee Reservoir. Noxious and annual weeds within the proposed project area are a concern, but this concern will be mitigated as the proponent and DNRC is responsible for controlling weeds within the project area. Cumulative impacts on the vegetative resources are not expected.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted and there were no plant species of concern noted or potential species of concern noted on the NRIS survey.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

The area is not considered critical wildlife habitat. However, this tract provides habitat for a variety of big game species (mule deer, whitetail deer, pronghorn antelope), predators (coyote, fox, badger), upland game birds (sharp tail grouse, Hungarian partridge), other non-game mammals, raptors and various songbirds. The proposal does not include any land use change which would yield changes to the wildlife habitat. The proposed action will not impact wildlife forage, cover, or traveling corridors. Nor will this action change the juxtaposition of wildlife forage, water, or hiding and thermal cover.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

There are no threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitat types, or other species of special concern associated with the proposed project area.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted. There were five animal species of concern, zero potential species of concern, and one special status species noted on the NRIS survey: Birds-Bobolink, Common Loon, Long-billed Curlew, McCown's Longspur, and Bald Eagle. Fish-Arctic Grayling. This particular tract of grazing land does not contain many, if any of these species. If any are present, they may be dispersed into surrounding permanent cover.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

No cultural resources were found within the project area, so it is assumed that cultural resources will not be impacted by this proposed project.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

The installation of the windmill aerator will not affect the aesthetic character of the land.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

The demand on environmental resources such as land, water, air, or energy will not be affected by the proposed action. The proposed action will not consume resources that are limited in the area. There are no other projects in the area that will affect the proposed project.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

There are no other projects or plans being considered on the tract listed on this EA.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

The proposed project will not change human safety in the area.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

The proposed windmill aerator will help to increase the winter survival of the fish in the Clemons Coulee Reservoir. This will help to aid in the general fisheries management of the reservoir.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

This project will not create any new jobs, as the project will be completed in house by the proponent.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

The proposed action will not affect the tax base or tax revenues.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

This project is of a small scale and being funded by the proponent. There will be no excessive stress placed of the existing infrastructure of the area.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

The proposed action is in compliance with Federal, State, and County laws. No other management plans are in effect for the area.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

This tract is legally accessible. The proposed action is expected to positively impact general recreational and wilderness activities on this state tract.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing

The proposal does not include any changes to housing or developments.

No direct or cumulative effects to population or housing are anticipated.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

The proposed action will not impact the cultural uniqueness or diversity of the area.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

Cumulative impacts are not likely as the area is only used for livestock grazing and recreation. The windmill aerator will increase the survival rate of the fish in the reservoir. This will aid in the management of the fishery. This project is authorized under the Statement of Agreement for Management of Clemons Coulee Reservoir between DNRC and FWP.

EA Checklist
Prepared By:

Name: Tony Nickol
Date: November 9, 2016

Land Use Specialist, Conrad Unit, Central Land Office

V. FINDINGS			
25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:			
Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant FWP's request to place a windmill aerator on Clemons Coulee Reservoir.			
26. SIGNIFICANCI	OF POTENTIA	AL IMPACTS:	
None			
27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:			
EIS	M	lore Detailed EA	X No Further Analysis
EA Checklist Approved By:	Name: Eril	k Eneboe	
	Title: Co	Conrad Unit Manger, CLO, DNRC	
Signature:	465		Date: November 17, 2016

